

**A MEETING OF THE REGULATORY BOARD
WAS HELD ON 9 NOVEMBER 2010**

The Mayor (Councillor Allen) (ex-officio), Chairman of the P & O Board (Councillor Hook) (ex-officio); Councillor Ms Ballard (P), Carter CR (Chairman) (P), Edwards (P), Henshaw (P), Hylands (P), Langdon (P), Ronayne (P), Scard (P), Miss West and Wright (P).

92 APOLOGIES

An apology for inability to attend the meeting was received from the Mayor.

93 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor Carter declared a personal and prejudicial interest in applications
K68/3 – 13 Milvil Road
K17232/2 – 28 Palmerston Way
K9913/70 – Royal Navy Submarine Museum

Councillor Scard declared a personal and prejudicial interest in application
K17232/2 – 28 Palmerston Way

Councillor Ronayne declared and personal and prejudicial interest in application
K9913/70 Royal Navy Submarine Museum

94 MINUTES

RESOLVED: That the Minutes of the Regulatory Board meeting held on 5 October 2010 be approved and signed by the Chairman as a true and correct record.

95 DEPUTATIONS

Deputations were received on items
K68/3 – 13 Milvil Road
K17232/2 – 28 Palmerston Way
K9393/7 – Land to the rear of 63-65 High Street, Lee-on-the-Solent
K17839 – 31A Brockhurst Road

96 PUBLIC QUESTIONS

No public questions had been received.

PART II

97 HISTORIC BUILDINGS GRANT OFFER – BURY HOUSE, BURY ROAD, GOSPORT

Members considered the report of the Borough Solicitor for an application for grant aid under Section 57 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 for the restoration of sash windows and timber doors.

RESOLVED: That an offer of £2142.07 (or 15% of the final cost, whichever is the lesser sum) for the restoration of sash windows and timber doors at Bury House, Bury Road, Gosport be approved.

98 REPORT OF THE BOROUGH SOLICITOR

The Borough Solicitor submitted a report on applications received for planning consent setting out the recommendation in each case (a copy of which is attached in the Minute Book as Appendix 'A').

RESOLVED: That the decisions be taken on each application for planning consent as detailed below:

- 99 K9913/70 - CIVIL ENGINEERING WORKS TO ALLOW ONGOING MAINTENANCE AND RESTORATION OF HMS ALLIANCE TO INCLUDE A NEW PILED COFFERDAM, NEW STAIRS AT THE BOW AND LIFT AND STAIRS AT THE STERN, NEW MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL PLANT AND PLANT ROOM, AND TEMPORARY RELOCATION OF THE HORNET SAILING CLUB PONTOON TO FACILITATE CONSTRUCTION AND PROVISION OF NEW PONTOONS (CONSERVATION AREA)**
Royal Navy Submarine Museum Haslar Road Gosport Hampshire

Note: Councillors Carter and Ronayne declared a Personal and Prejudicial interest in the item, left the meeting room and took no further part in the discussion or voting thereon. Councillor Edwards chaired the meeting for the duration of this item.

Members were advised that the applicant had indicated that it was no longer intended to fix the new access pontoons to the adjacent slipways. It was agreed that authorisation be given to the Head of Development Control to amend condition 3 as follows:

*“At no time shall the new access pontoons be fixed to the adjacent slipway unless otherwise agreed, in writing, by the Local Planning Authority.
Reason - To ensure that the slipway, as a historic feature of interest, is appropriately preserved and to comply with Policies R/BH1 and R/BH8 of the Gosport Borough Local Plan Review.”*

Members welcomed the maintenance and restoration of HMS Alliance and recognised the historical importance of the submarine.

RESOLVED: That application K9913/70 – Royal Naval Submarine Museum, Gosport be approved subject to the conditions set out in the report of the Borough Solicitor and the agreed amendment to condition 3 detailed above, for the reason below:

- i That having regard to the provisions of Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Act 2004 and all other material considerations, the development is acceptable in design terms and its affect on the coast. It will enhance the character of the Haslar Peninsula Conservation Area and features of archaeological interest can be appropriately preserved. There will be no harmful impacts on features of ecological importance and the risk from land contamination and flooding has been addressed. Impacts on neighbouring properties from air and noise pollution can be appropriately mitigated. The proposals therefore comply with Policies R/DP1, R/BH1, R/BH8, R/CH1, R/CF1, R/ENV2, R/ENV5, R/ENV10, R/ENV12, R/OS11, R/OS13 and R/OS14 of the Gosport Borough Local Plan Review.

**100 K68/3 - DEMOLITION OF EXISTING NURSERY SCHOOL BUILDING AND ERECTION OF 1NO. FOUR BEDROOM DETACHED CHALET BUNGALOW WITH ASSOCIATED PARKING AND AMENITY SPACE (as amplified by Ecological Report received 13.08.10)
13 Milvil Road Lee-On-The-Solent Hampshire PO13 9LU**

Note: Councillors Carter declared a Personal and Prejudicial interest in the item, left the meeting room and took no further part in the discussion or voting thereon. Councillor Edwards chaired the meeting for the duration of this item.

Members were advised that the required legal agreement had been progressed therefore it was requested that if Members were to agree with the recommendation to refuse, authority be delegated to the Head of Development Control to complete the Section 106 agreement relating to the payment of a commuted sum towards the provision and/or improvement of outdoor playing space in the event of an appeal being submitted.

Mr Gourley was invited to address the Board. He advised that he resided at 12 Kings Road and was representing the views of the residents of properties neighbouring 13 Milvil Road.

Mr Gourley advised that, prior to his purchase, a planning application had been submitted to demolish the property at 12 Kings Road and construct 2 bungalows on the site. The Planning Committee, at the time, had refused the application and a subsequent appeal was lost.

The Board were advised that the proposals contained in the application for 12 Kings Road were considered to be overcrowded and cramped and that the additional traffic would be detrimental to the amenity of local residents. Mr Gourley accepted that the proposal for 13 Milvil Road was for one dwelling, rather than the two proposed at 12 Kings Road but hoped that the Regulatory Board would support the officers' recommendation for refusal.

Mr Gourley advised the Board that properties surrounding the proposal would be affected in a number of different ways. The proposed dwelling would be

located less than 15m away from his own property, and a total 8 sets of windows and patio doors would overlook 12 Kings Road, greatly compromising privacy.

Mr Gourley expressed concern that the access proposed in the application would be located close to the back door of 15 Milvil Road and would cause noise, light and fume pollution 24 hours a day. This current use of the site as a nursery only caused these inconveniences between the hours of 08.00-18.00 Monday to Friday. In addition Mr Gourley felt that should the proposal be approved, the security of the surrounding properties would be compromised as a result of the increased access to the rear of 13 Milvil Road.

Mr Sayle was invited to address the Board. He advised that he was Planning Consultant for the applicant. He highlighted that the proposal had not been identified as a flooding risk or caused concern to the Local Highway Authority and posed no threat to bats or any tree species.

He advised that the proposal was for a sustainable, low energy dwelling. It would include a garage to address any parking concerns. Members were also advised that the separation distances to other properties fell within current guidelines.

Mr Sayle advised that progress had been made to ensure that the Section 106 could now be completed.

The Board were advised that there were existing backland properties in the vicinity of the site and permission had recently been granted to undertake work to the care home at 17 Milvil Road which had significantly reduced the amenity space on that development site.

Mr Sayle advised that he did not believe that the proposal would cause harm as a result of being out of character as there were a variety of development styles in the area. He also advised that the proposal was for the demolition and replacement of an existing building and that, on completion, plot coverage would only be 22%.

Mr Sayle advised that traffic levels would decrease as the site was currently used as a nursery and that three of the windows causing concern to Mr Gourley, would consist of obscure glazing.

Members welcomed that gardens were no longer considered to be Brownfield sites

RESOLVED:

a) That application K68/3 – 13 Milvil Road, Lee-on-the-Solent be refused for the following reasons

- i The proposed development, by reason of its layout and siting within the rear garden of number 13, would result in an undesirable form of backland

development that is out of keeping with the established pattern of residential development in the locality and detrimental to the character of the area, contrary to Planning Policy Statement 3 (PPS3) and Policy R/DP1 of the Gosport Borough Local Plan Review.

- ii The use of the proposed driveway by pedestrian and vehicular traffic would result in an unacceptable disturbance to the occupiers of numbers 13 and 15 Milvil Road, contrary to Policy R/DP1 of the Gosport Borough Local Plan Review
- iii Adequate provision has not been made for outdoor playing space, nor the payment of a commuted sum in lieu of that provision, contrary to Policy R/OS8 of the Gosport Borough Local Plan Review; and

b) that authority be delegated to the Head of Development Control to complete the Section 106 agreement in the event that an appeal is submitted.

**101 K17040/1 - ERECTION OF DETACHED CAR PORT (CONSERVATION AREA) (as amended by plans received 14.07.10)
63 Crescent Road Gosport Hampshire PO12 2DN**

RESOLVED: That application K17040/1 – 63 Crescent Road, Gosport be approved subject to the conditions set out in the report of the Borough Solicitor for the reason below:

- i That having regard to the provisions of Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and all other material considerations, the development as proposed is acceptable in this location. It is of an appropriate design and will preserve the character and appearance of the Anglesey Conservation Area. It will not have a harmful impact on the amenities of adjoining residents or highway safety. As such the proposal complies with Policies R/DP1, R/BH1 and R/T11 of the Gosport Borough Local Plan Review.

**102 K17232/2 - ERECTION OF A SINGLE CAR DETACHED CAR PORT
28 Palmerston Way Gosport Hampshire PO12 2LZ**

Note: Councillors Carter and Scard declared a Personal and Prejudicial interest in the item, left the meeting room and took no further part in the discussion or voting there on. Councillor Edwards chaired the meeting for the duration of this item.

Mr Wright was invited to address the Board. He advised that a year ago an application had been made to erect a garage at the proposal site but this had been withdrawn by the applicant as he believed it was to be recommended for refusal.

Mr Wright advised that the proposed application contravened the deeds for the property in terms of scale and area and proposed material to be used and there was an existing garage and sufficient off road parking for cars already on site.

Mr Wright advised that there were no existing buildings in the front gardens of any properties along the northern side of Palmerston Way and he was concerned that should the application be approved, a precedent would be set for similar developments.

Mr Wright expressed concern that the proposed development would create a danger for oncoming traffic and pedestrians.

In answer to a Member's question, the Board were advised that the deeds of a property were not a material consideration for the Local Planning Authority.

A site visit was proposed and having been put to the vote the motion was lost.

RESOLVED: That application K17232/2 – 28 Palmerston Way, Gosport, be approved subject to the conditions set out in the report of the Borough Solicitor for the reasons below:

- i That having regard to the provisions of Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and all other material considerations, the development as proposed is acceptable in this location. It is of an appropriate design and will not have a detrimental impact on the visual amenity of the local area, the amenities of adjoining residents or highway safety. As such the proposal complies with Policies R/DP1 and R/T11 of the Gosport Borough Local Plan Review.

**103 K9393/7 - DEMOLITION OF STORE AND ERECTION OF CLASS B1(A) OFFICE UNIT (as amended by plans received 31.08.10)
Land To The Rear Of 63-65 High Street Lee-on-the-Solent
Hampshire PO13 9BU**

Members were advised that an additional letter of representation had been received but no new issues had been raised.

Mr Round was invited to address the Board. He advised that he owned 12 Marine Parade East which was to the rear of the proposal site and that he was a spokesperson for a number of residents with nearby properties.

The residents felt that the proposal would have an adverse effect on the amenity of neighbouring properties.

Mr Round distributed photographs to the Board detailing the perceived outline of the proposed development and expressed concern that the size, depth, height and mass would have an adverse effect on local residents and would lead to loss of light and overshadowing to their properties.

He expressed concern that the development would be intrusive to properties with rooms overlooking the rear of Marine Parade East and that the current 45m distance between the rear of the properties and the existing development would be halved by the new proposal.

Mr Round also felt that the proposal would cause increased traffic congestion. The rear access way was already used for deliveries and waste removal in addition to its use by property owners and shopkeepers. He felt that an additional increase in traffic would compromise the safety of pedestrians and other users of the access way.

Mr Round advised that he would welcome a site visit by the Members of the Regulatory Board to allow them to gauge the scale of the proposed development.

Sarah Cornwell was invited to address the Board. She advised that she was a Planning Consultant representing the applicant and that she had received a copy of the photograph distributed at the meeting by Mr Round.

She advised the Board that the proposal would generate employment opportunities within the Lee-on-the-Solent District Shopping Centre. The Board were advised that the existing building was in poor condition and that the proposal was for a 1.5 storey building and would improve the visual amenity of the area.

The Board were also advised that there would be roof lights fitted to the property to ensure that the properties in Marine Parade East would not be overlooked and that the design of the proposal was such that the car parking spaces would be set back from the service road.

The Board were advised that there were no material planning reasons to refuse the application.

In answer to a Member's question, the Board were advised that, from viewing the photograph distributed, it could not be confirmed that the scale of the overlay of the proposed application was correct. This could not be determined without the correct measuring instruments and an indication of the scale and position used to take the photograph.

The Board were advised that the proposed unit made use of the existing site and would replace a dilapidated building; it would tidy up the site and was appropriate for the proposed location within Lee-on-the-Solent District Shopping Centre. The application was not speculative as there were already two parties interested in occupying the proposed unit.

The office space would be on the mezzanine floor to allow the car parking spaces to be pushed back on the site, with the ground floor comprising the reception and kitchen area.

Councillor Beavis was invited to address the Board. He expressed concern that the size and scale of the proposal would be detrimental to the amenity of the local residents. He felt that the proposal was not in keeping with the local area and would set a precedent for excessive development in the area.

Councillor Beavis requested that the Board make a site visit to the proposal site before making a decision.

RESOLVED: That application K9393/7 – Land to the rear of 63-65 High Street , Lee-on-the-Solent be deferred to allow for a site visit by Members of the Regulatory Board.

**104 K17839 - INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL ALTERATIONS, INCLUDING PROVISION OF REAR EXTERNAL STAIRCASE, AND SUBDIVISION OF PROPERTY TO CREATE 2NO. ONE BEDROOM FLATS AND 1NO. TWO BEDROOM FLAT (as amended by plan and supporting information received 12.10.10)
31A Brockhurst Road Gosport Hampshire PO12 3AP**

Members were advised that an additional letter of representation had been received, but that no new issues had been raised.

Mr Coakley was invited to address the Board. He advised that the property was currently a 3 bedroom property and the proposal was to convert it into smaller units. The smaller units would be aimed at first time buyers and key workers.

Members expressed concern that parking in the surrounding areas was already at a premium and that to increase the occupancy of the site would add to this.

It was recognised that the application site was in an accessible location, near to main bus routes and cycle lanes and that the likely parking demand for the proposal was not significantly greater than that generated by the existing 3 bedroomed dwelling. It was also noted that two parking spaces were to be provided on site where only one was available at present.

RESOLVED: That application K17839 – 31A Brockhurst Road, Gosport be approved subject to the payment of a commuted sum towards the provision and/or improvement of outdoor playing space and subject to the payment of a commuted sum towards transport infrastructure, services and facilities and subject to the conditions set out in the report of the Borough Solicitor for the reasons below:

- i That having regard to the provisions of Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and all other material considerations, the development as proposed is acceptable in this location. It is of an appropriate design and will not have a detrimental impact on adjoining users, the character or visual amenity of the locality, the amenities of existing or prospective residents or highway safety. Adequate provision is made for cycle parking, refuse storage, open space and highway and infrastructure improvements. As such, the proposal complies with Policies R/DP1, R/H4, R/S3, R/S6, R/S7, R/T11, R/OS8, R/DP3 and R/T4 of the Gosport Borough Local Plan Review.

**105 K17160/1 - ERECTION OF TWO STOREY BLOCK OF 2NO.FLATS WITH ASSOCIATED BIN AND CYCLE STORES (REAR OF 123 - 127 STOKE ROAD) AND ERECTION OF REPLACEMENT WC AND EXTRACTION FLUE (REAR OF 121 STOKE ROAD) (CONSERVATION AREA) (as amended by plans received 12.10.10)
Land To Rear Of 121-127 Stoke Road Gosport Hampshire PO12 1LR**

Members were advised that an additional letter of representation had been received. It requested that a condition be applied to the application requiring a Watching Brief to record any significant archaeological findings, however advice from the Conservation and Design team suggested that this was not necessary in this area. In addition, it was requested that the toilet facility be donated to the Weald and Downland Open Air Museum for preservation. The developer had been advised of this request and it would be discussed separately between the applicant/agent and the museum.

RESOLVED: That application K17160/1 –Land to the rear of 121-127 Stoke Road, Gosport be approved subject payment of a commuted sum towards transport, infrastructure, services and facilities and the payment of a commuted sum towards the provision and/or improvement of outdoor playing space and subject to the conditions set out in the report of the Borough Solicitor for the following reason:

- i That having regard to the provisions of Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and all other material considerations, the proposal would enhance the character and appearance of the Stoke Road Conservation Area and would not be harmful to the visual amenities of the surrounding area, the amenities of existing or prospective occupiers, or traffic/parking conditions in the locality, or the operation of the existing shop units facing Stoke Road. The proposal also makes adequate provision for transport infrastructure, services and facilities and outdoor playing space and for dealing with possible contamination. As such, the proposal complies with Policies R/DP1, R/BH1, R/S3, R/S6, R/OS8, R/T4, R/T11, RENV5, R/ENV10 and R/ENV12 of the Gosport Borough Local Plan Review.

**106 K17566/3 – RETENTION OF AND FURTHER WORKS TO REDEVELOP FORMER CARE HOME TO PROVIDE 14NO APARTMENTS AND 2NO RETAIL UNITS WITH ASSOCIATED EXTERNAL WORKS AND PARKING (CONSERVATION AREA) (AMENDED SCHEME TO K17566 & K17566/1)
Pier House 1 Marine Parade East Lee-On-The-Solent Hampshire PO13 9FP**

It was proposed to amend condition two to require a typical window sample in addition to details before installation.

Members welcomed this amendment and recognised that it was important that the correct materials were used on this historical site.

RESOLVED: That application K17566/3 – Pier House, 1 Marine Parade East, Lee-on-the-Solent be approved subject to the payment of a commuted sum towards the provision and/or improvement of outdoor playing space and the payment of a commuted sum towards education and subject to the conditions set out in the report of the Borough Solicitor for the following reason:

- i That having regard to the provisions of Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and all other material considerations, the proposal is an acceptable use in this location and will enhance the vitality and viability of the adjacent Shopping Centre. The proposal will enhance the historic and architectural character and appearance of the Pier Street Conservation Area and will not have a harmful affect on the Marine Parade Area of Special Character or the amenities of prospective or adjoining occupiers or highway safety conditions in the locality. The proposal also includes measures to promote the use of renewable energy and makes adequate provision for refuse storage, open space and education. As such, the proposal complies with Policies R/DP1, R/DP3, R/DP10, R/BH1, R/BH2, R/CF6, R/S2, R/H4, R/OS8, R/T2, R/T4, R/T10, R/T11, R/ENV4, R/ENV10, R/ENV12, R/ENV15 of the Gosport Borough Local Plan Review; and
- ii That condition 2 be amended to include the requirement for a sample window to be submitted and approved by the Head of Development Control prior to any installation.

107 K17862 - RETENTION OF AND FURTHER WORKS FOR THE CONVERSION OF EXISTING BUILDINGS TO 5NO.TWO BEDROOM AND 1NO.THREE BEDROOM HOUSES, CHANGE OF USE TO 2NO. COMMERCIAL UNITS WITHIN CLASS B1, ERECTION OF GARAGE, CYCLE AND REFUSE STORES AND ASSOCIATED CAR PARKING AND LANDSCAPING (CONSERVATION AREA) (as amended by plans received 13.10.10)
Former Royal Engineers Depot Weevil Lane Gosport Hampshire

Members welcomed the proposal for a mix of light industrial use and houses in this area.

RESOLVED: That application K17862 – Former Royal Engineers Depot, Weevil Lane, Gosport be approved subject to the payment of a commuted sum towards the provision and/or improvement of outdoor playing space and the payment of a commuted sum towards transport infrastructure services and facilities and subject to the conditions set out in the report of the Borough Solicitor for the following reason:

- i That having regard to the provisions of Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and all other material considerations, the development as proposed is acceptable in this location and the alterations and uses would preserve the character and appearance of the Royal Clarence Yard Conservation Area and would not prevent the comprehensive redevelopment of neighbouring sites, in the context of the

developing Waterfront Masterplan. The development will not have a significant impact on the amenities of adjoining or future occupiers and adequate provision is made for car parking, off site transport infrastructure, cycle parking, refuse storage, and open space. As such it complies with Policies R/DP1, R/DP3, R/H4, R/T4, R/T11, R/EMP6, R/BH1, R/OS8, and R/OS13 of the Gosport Borough Local Plan Review.

**108 K12020/2 - CHANGE OF USE OF LAND TO EXTEND EXISTING SITE COMPOUND, FIRST FLOOR EXTENSION TO EXISTING WORKSHOP TO PROVIDE OFFICE SPACE, ERECTION OF PAINT BOOTH, PROVISION OF THREE ADDITIONAL CAR PARKING SPACES, AND ERECTION OF NEW 2.5M BOUNDARY WALL AND RAILINGS (ADJACENT TO CONSERVATION AREA)
Unit 2 Toronto Place Gosport Hampshire PO12 4UZ**

RESOLVED: That application K12020/2 – 2 Toronto Place, Gosport be approved subject the payment of a commuted sum towards transport infrastructure services and facilities and subject to the conditions set out in the report of the Borough Solicitor for the following reason:

- i That having regard to the provisions of Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and all other material considerations, the proposal is acceptable in this location. It will not be detrimental to adjoining users, the amenities of the occupiers of neighbouring dwellings or the visual amenity of the locality. It will provide additional employment opportunities for residents of the Borough and will enhance the vitality and viability of the Forton Road Industrial Estate. Appropriate facilities are available for vehicular and bicycle parking and provision has been made for highway and infrastructure improvements. As such, the proposal complies with Policies R/DP1, R/DP3, R/EMP3, R/T4, R/T11, R/ENV10 and R/ENV12 of the Gosport Borough Local Plan Review.

**109 K9548/10 - OUTLINE - ERECTION OF DETACHED 4 BEDROOM DWELLING WITH GARAGE
Land Adjacent To 21 The Avenue Gosport Hampshire**

RESOLVED: That outline application K9548/10 – Land adjacent to 21 The Avenue, Gosport be approved subject the payment of a commuted sum towards transport infrastructure services and facilities and subject to the conditions set out in the report of the Borough Solicitor for the following reason:

- i That having regard to the provisions of Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and all other material considerations the development of one additional dwelling in this existing residential area is appropriate and will assist in providing a variety of residential accommodation to meet the housing needs of the Borough. The proposal will not have a detrimental impact on the amenities of neighbouring residents or prospective occupiers or the protected Maple tree. Adequate provision is made for open space and transport infrastructure and provision can be made for car and cycle parking and refuse storage to

relevant standards. As such the development complies with Policies R/DP1, R/DP3, R/H4, R/T4, R/T11 and R/OS8 of the Gosport Borough Local Plan Review.

**110 K17869 - CHANGE OF USE OF LAND FROM AMENITY SPACE TO PRIVATE GARDEN & ERECTION OF 1.8 METRE HIGH FENCE
58 Carless Close Gosport Hampshire PO13 9PN**

It was clarified that the application was for the change of use of land and the erection of a 1.8m fence.

RESOLVED: That application K17869 – 58 Carless Close, Gosport be approved subject the payment of a commuted sum towards transport infrastructure services and facilities and subject to the conditions set out in the report of the Borough Solicitor for the following reason:

- i That having regard to the provisions of Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and all other material considerations, the proposal is acceptable in this location. It will not be detrimental to the character or visual amenity of the locality, the amenities of the occupiers of neighbouring dwellings or highway safety conditions in the locality. As such, the development complies with Policy R/DP1 of the Gosport Borough Local Plan Review.

111 ANY OTHER ITEMS

There were none.

The meeting commenced at 6.00pm and concluded at 7.15pm.

CHAIRMAN